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• ATD-2 systems **ingest** huge amounts of SWIM data
• They also **output** huge amounts of data, recording every aspect of the operation

• *This output data is very valuable, but is too verbose to be used effectively for some analysis use cases*

• To address this challenge, we have developed a variety of standardized reports to serve analyst and user needs
Analyst challenges in using data

This output data presents several problems:

1. **Scale**: this is big data for most analysts, and Postgres query engine not forgiving for inexpert query design, particularly when trying to conduct longitudinal analysis.

2. **Complexity**: DB design may seem complex to some analysts.

3. “**Noise**”: human inputs, complexities of data mediation, order of processing messages, changes from earlier versions of ATD-2 software, etc.

4. **Business rules**: so many conventions for measurement.

Create standardized reports to support analyst and user needs.
All reports possible because of consolidated view provided by fuser

- *flightSummary report*
  - Tabular report generated each day, one row per flight, *many* computed metrics

- *APREQ compliance report*
  - Subset of flightSummary, covering APREQ negotiation and compliance pushed to users each morning

- *Post-Metering report*
  - Subset of flightSummary, covering metering performance immediately after each bank at Charlotte

- *Daily Data Digest*
  - Summary of prior day’s operation pushed to users and researchers each morning
• Fully compatible for all ATD-2 airports
• Report generated on data warehouses each morning for prior “day” (0400-0400 local), requiring ~15 minutes
  – Application written in Python, runs ~50 SQL queries, joins results, adds additional columns leveraging data between queries
  – Approach is generic: could be implemented in other languages, or in pure SQL

Very long DB tables

One row per flight
High-level sections of flightSummary

- “Basic” data
- Banks
- Clearances
- Flight “states”
- Surface metering
- Time/resource predictions at events
- EOBT, LTIME & associated accuracy
- EDCT, MIT, GS & Fix closures
- APREQ

- Undelayed/actual/excess taxi times
- AOBT by source
- Gate conflicts
- Airport configuration
- Predicted in times for departures
- AEFS
- First surveillance
- On-time performance
“Basic” descriptive data

From final values for each flight, report:

- ACID, GUFI
- Category, origin/destination
- Aircraft identifying info (type, wake, engine class, etc.)
- SOBT, SIBT
- AOBT, AMAT, ATOT, ALDT, AIBT (+ queue entry time)
- Actual terminal/ramp/gate/spot/runway/fix
- Cancellation indicator/time
- Final position
- Final route, assigned altitude
- Mainline/regional indicator
- Last system providing data, last timestamp of data received
- Long on board, priority status, runway opnec indicators
- IOBT, Final PTIME
Bank data

• Use clustering algorithm to infer banks from schedule and actual operations
  – Calculated for: scheduled in/out, actual on/off, actual out
  – Density-based clustering used, so some flights fall into no bank, representing lulls in traffic
• Also report operator-defined bank numbers, when available
Clearances

• RTC records all ramp controller actions, report gets last time each clearance issued
  – Gate pushback hold, gate pushback approved, proceed to spot, hold, return to gate, “not set”, cleared to gate
• Indicator for “true” return to gate status
  – Often observe controllers quickly undo clearance, pushing flight into unset state
  – Logic requires >5 minutes between clearance going return to gate or unset, and next good clearance, to count
• Indicator for pushback approved clearance being undone
• Last clearance type issued
• Infer pushback duration by difference between pushback approved and proceed to spot
  – Only captures flights cleared using RTC, as surveillance does not give reliable pushback duration
Flight states

• ATD-2 internal model maintains state of flight, based on available data and rules
  – Scheduled, pushback, ramp taxi out, taxi out, in queue, off, in terminal airport, en route
  – On final, taxi in, ramp taxi in, in gate
  – Return to gate, cancelled, suspended, unknown
• Query gets first time flight enters each state
• Report final state reached (helps with finding “stuck” flights)
**Surface metering analysis**

*Developed suite of metrics around surface metering:*

- Some values computed here apply to all flights, while others are specific to metered flights
- Infer flight ready time: capture clearance sequence, observation of surveillance, account for return to gate:
  - Report predictions at ready: controlled times, UOBT, UTOT, TOBT, TMAT, TTOT
- Infer metering “status”
- Standardized TOBT/TMAT compliance: using metering status and standard windows (TOBT +/- 2 mins, TMAT +/- 5 mins)
- Gate holds: advised and actual
- Held beyond SOBT or LTIME
- Fuel/emissions savings associated with actual gate hold
- Bulk of this data distributed after each bank for common situational awareness as the *Post-Metering Report*
Resource predictions at events

• For departures, immediately before:
  – Pushback, spot crossing, queue entry, off, fix crossing

• Predict:
  – Gate, spot, runway, fix (for all “future” resources)

• Include data source for each resource prediction, e.g., STBO prediction, TBFM data

• For arrivals, immediately before:
  – Fix crossing, landing, spot crossing, in

• Predict:
  – Fix, runway, spot, gate (for all “future” resources)
Time values & predictions at events

- At same events that resource predictions are sampled, get many times (set tailored to event):
  - **Departures:**
    - At pushback: suite of gate (UOBT, LTIME, etc.), spot, runway (controlled, undelayed, etc.), fix times (targeted, undelayed, etc.)
    - At spot crossing: suite of spot, runway, fix times
    - At queue entry: suite of runway, fix times
    - At takeoff: suite of runway, fix times
    - At fix crossing: suite of fix times
  - **Arrivals:**
    - Undelayed times for all future resources
For each of EOBT and LTIME, report...

- Value at pilot ready time, at pushback clearance
- Final value received
- Difference versus ready time, pushback clearance, AOBT (using value in effect at that instant)
- EOBT at prescheduling
- Time first/last value received
- Number of times value updated
- Accuracy versus ready and AOBT at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30 minutes prior to event
TMI impacts

**EDCT:**
- Values at pilot ready time, final
- When first/last EDCT received
- Number of updates
- Actual & truncated compliance

**MIT & Fix closures:**
- First/last time received
- Count of distinct restrictions

**Ground stop:**
- Indicator for data received

- Area of active development to improve metrics
Significant undertaking to include everything…

- First/actual release type (original, IDAC, free), coordinating center, time requested (if known)
- First/last scheduled times, TBFM-assigned delay
- First/last times flight scheduled, flight states at those
- Point in flight lifecycle when scheduled (e.g., pre pushback)
- Number of times rescheduled
- Time & fuel savings from rescheduling
- Actual & truncated compliance
- Prescheduling indicator, EOBT at prescheduling
- Bulk of this data distributed each morning to support analyst and user needs, common situational awareness
**Undelayed:**

- Record prediction used in system for undelayed taxi times, immediately before:
  - Pushback $\rightarrow$ ramp taxi time
  - Departure spot crossing $\rightarrow$ AMA taxi time
  - Landing $\rightarrow$ AMA taxi time
  - Arrival spot crossing $\rightarrow$ ramp taxi time
- Filter out “bad” values, include logic to account for bugs in historical data

**Actual:**

- Actual AMA & ramp taxi times for arrivals and departures
- Report excess (difference between actual and undelayed) taxi times for each phase
Other data

**AOBT by source:**
- Get AOBTs from:
  - Controller inputs (gate pushback approved)
  - Airline (CLT does not currently use these in operation)
  - Surveillance (occasionally, although coverage quality is low near terminal buildings)
- Often capture multiple airline-provided AOBTs because of different automation systems

**Gate conflicts:**
- System models/predicts gate conflicts, so capture data for both arrivals and departures
  - Associated other flight
  - Value present at landing (for arrivals)
  - Start/end/duration of conflict period (as of landing time)
Airport configuration:
• At out, off, on, in events for flights, record:
  – flow: direction airport operating in (small set of values for subject airports)
  – scenario: summary of departure procedures in effect

Downstream times for departures
• For departures from subject airports, report in time as predicted by airline systems, sample at out and takeoff events
• Useful for analysts to model downstream A04/A14 performance impacts

On-time performance:
• Report indicators for flights meeting D0/D15/A0/A14 milestones
• Use actual times truncated to minutes to match logic employed by DOT (as airline-provided times typically truncated)
AEFS actions:
• Cleared for takeoff
• Line-up and wait
• Enter runway
• Taxi clearance

First surveillance data:
• Time of first surveillance data
• System providing first surveillance
• Flight state at first surveillance
  – Useful for understanding if flights pop into system before expected
Wrap-up

• These reports widely used within project as starting point for analysis, saving considerable redundant work
• Versions shared with project partners regularly for their analysis and feedback
• Development of these reports highly collaborative, adding new features regularly
• Approach is generic, but can be adapted as appropriate
• Infinitely simpler by starting with fuser data

• This is current ATD-2 approach, but for future work, we believe that maintaining a common 360° view of each flight is extremely valuable.